3. Reallocation - Grant(s) Eliminated

CoCs that intend to reallocate eligible renewal funds to create a new project application (as detailed in the FY 2015 CoC Program Competition NOFA) may do so by eliminating one or more expiring eligible renewal projects. CoCs that are eliminating projects entirely must identify those projects on this form.

Amount Available for New Project: (Sum of All Eliminated Projects)				
$163,718				
Eliminated Project Name	**Grant Number Eliminated**	**Component Type**	**Annual Renewal Amount**	**Type of Reallocation**
PSH Bonus Renewal...	NV0052L9T011402	PH	$32,345	Regular
Permanent Support...	NV0064L9T011402	PH	$131,373	Regular

3. Reallocation - Grant(s) Eliminated Details

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2015 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions. Submit technical question to the e-snaps HUD Exchange Ask A Question (AAQ) at https://www.hudexchange.info/get-assistance/.

3-1 Complete each of the fields below for each grant that is being eliminated during the FY 2015 reallocation process. Collaborative Applicants should refer to the final HUD-approved FY 2015 Grant Inventory Worksheet to ensure all information entered here is accurate.

Eliminated Project Name:	PSH Bonus Renewal 2014
Grant Number of Eliminated Project:	NV0052L9T011402
Eliminated Project Component Type:	PH
Eliminated Project Annual Renewal Amount:	$32,345

3-2 Describe how the CoC determined that this project should be eliminated.
(limit 750 characters)

This project was eliminated to free up funding for a new PSH project that will serve more families using a Housing First approach. The new project will replace this project and use evidence-based practices of Intensive Case Management to provide more comprehensive services to families. The new project was ranked #2 overall.

3. Reallocation - Grant(s) Eliminated Details

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2015 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions. Submit technical question to the e-snaps HUD Exchange Ask A Question (AAQ) at https://www.hudexchange.info/get-assistance/.

3-1 Complete each of the fields below for each grant that is being eliminated during the FY 2015 reallocation process. Collaborative Applicants should refer to the final HUD-approved FY 2015 Grant Inventory Worksheet to ensure all information entered here is accurate.

Eliminated Project Name:	Permanent Supportive Housing for Veteran Families
Grant Number of Eliminated Project:	NV0064L9T011402
Eliminated Project Component Type:	PH
Eliminated Project Annual Renewal Amount:	$131,373

3-2 Describe how the CoC determined that this project should be eliminated.
(limit 750 characters)

The Rating and Ranking Committee (RRC) didn't receive an application from the agency to renew their grant. During the monitoring visit of the CoC to this program, it was determined that the clients served by this project could be better served by another CoC funded PSH project of the applicant. The PSH project, ANCHOR, has not expended its full amount of funding in previous years. The amount unexpended can support the 8 families served by this grant. Therefore, to improve overall performance of the CoC, the grantee determined it would eliminate this grant and make funds available for the CoC's HMIS and new PSH project serving families in Washoe County.

4. Reallocation - Grant(s) Reduced

CoCs planning to use reallocation may do so by reducing one or more expiring eligible renewal projects. CoCs that are reducing projects must identify those projects on this form.

Amount Available for New Project (Sum of All Reduced Projects)					
$89,134					
Reduced Project Name	**Reduced Grant Number**	**Annual Renewal Amount**	**Amount Retained**	**Amount available for new project**	**Reallocation Type**
Shelter Plus Care 1	NV0018L9T011407	$445,086	$363,784	$81,302	Regular
CoC-SPC Renewal 2014	NV0044L9T011405	$113,171	$105,339	$7,832	Regular

4. Reallocation - Grant(s) Reduced Details

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2015 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions. Submit technical question to the e-snaps HUD Exchange Ask A Question (AAQ) at https://www.hudexchange.info/get-assistance/.

4-1 Complete each of the fields below for each eligible renewal grant this is being reduced during the FY 2015 reallocation process. Collaborative Applicants should refer to the final HUD-approved FY 2015 Grant Inventory Worksheet to ensure all information entered on this form is correct.

Reduced Project Name:	Shelter Plus Care 1
Grant Number of Reduced Project:	NV0018L9T011407
Reduced Project Current Annual Renewal Amount:	$445,086
Amount Retained for Project:	$363,784
Amount available for New Project(s): (This amount will auto-calculate by selecting "Save" button)	$81,302

4-2 Describe how the CoC determined that this project should be reduced. (limit 750 characters)

The Rating and Ranking Committee examined performance measures as articulated on the APR and examined during a monitor visit of the CoC. They rated projects based on utilization rates, increasing housing stability, destination upon exit, increasing income and determined that the grant would be reduced. The project's history of fund expenditures was examined to identify the amount of funds to reallocate. The CoC worked with the grantee to ensure that the same number of clients would continue to be served and then arrived at the final amount to be ranked.

4. Reallocation - Grant(s) Reduced Details

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2015 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions. Submit technical question to the e-snaps HUD Exchange Ask A Question (AAQ) at https://www.hudexchange.info/get-assistance/.

4-1 Complete each of the fields below for each eligible renewal grant this is being reduced during the FY 2015 reallocation process. Collaborative Applicants should refer to the final HUD-approved FY 2015 Grant Inventory Worksheet to ensure all information entered on this form is correct.

Reduced Project Name: CoC-SPC Renewal 2014

Grant Number of Reduced Project: NV0044L9T011405

Reduced Project Current Annual Renewal Amount: $113,171

Amount Retained for Project: $105,339

Amount available for New Project(s): (This amount will auto-calculate by selecting "Save" button) $7,832

4-2 Describe how the CoC determined that this project should be reduced. (limit 750 characters)

The Rating and Ranking Committee examined performance measures as articulated on the APR and examined during a monitor visit of the CoC. They rated projects based on utilization rates, increasing housing stability, destination upon exit, increasing income and determined that the grant would be reduced. The project's history of fund expenditures was examined to identify the amount of funds to reallocate. The CoC worked with the grantee to ensure that the same number of clients would continue to be served and then arrived at the final amount to be ranked. The amount the project was reduced was slightly more than the amount of administrative funds awarded during past competitions. Washoe County, as a governmental entity was asked to forgo admin to make funds available for HMIS.

5. Reallocation - New Project(s)

Collaborative Applicants must identify the new project(s) the CoC plans to create and enter the requested information for each project.

Sum of All New Reallocated Project Requests
(Must be less than or equal to total amount(s) eliminated and/or reduced)

$252,361				
Current Priority #	**New Project Name**	**Component Type**	**Transferred Amount**	**Reallocation Type**
1	HMIS Norther...	HMIS	$122,822	Regular
2	Permanent Su...	PSH	$85,000	Regular
8	Ridge House ...	RRH	$44,539	Regular

5. Reallocation - New Project(s) Details

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2015 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions. Submit technical question to the e-snaps HUD Exchange Ask A Question (AAQ) at https://www.hudexchange.info/get-assistance/.

5-1 Complete each of the fields below for each new project created through reallocation in the FY 2015 funding process. For list of all eligible types of new projects that may be created through the reallocation process, see the FY 2015 CoC Program Competition NOFA.

FY 2015 Rank (from Project Listing): 1

Proposed New Project Name: HMIS Northern Nevada 2015

Component Type: HMIS

Amount Requested for New Project: $122,822

5. Reallocation - New Project(s) Details

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2015 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions. Submit technical question to the e-snaps HUD Exchange Ask A Question (AAQ) at https://www.hudexchange.info/get-assistance/.

5-1 Complete each of the fields below for each new project created through reallocation in the FY 2015 funding process. For list of all eligible types of new projects that may be created through the reallocation process, see the FY 2015 CoC Program Competition NOFA.

FY 2015 Rank (from Project Listing): 2

Proposed New Project Name: Permanent Supportive Housing 2015

Component Type: PSH

Amount Requested for New Project: $85,000

5. Reallocation - New Project(s) Details

Instructions:

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2015 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions. Submit technical question to the e-snaps HUD Exchange Ask A Question (AAQ) at https://www.hudexchange.info/get-assistance/.

5-1 Complete each of the fields below for each new project created through reallocation in the FY 2015 funding process. For list of all eligible types of new projects that may be created through the reallocation process, see the FY 2015 CoC Program Competition NOFA.

FY 2015 Rank (from Project Listing): 8

Proposed New Project Name: Ridge House Rapid Rehousing

Component Type: RRH

Amount Requested for New Project: $44,539

6. Reallocation: Balance Summary

Instructions

For guidance on completing this form, please reference the FY 2015 CoC Priority Listing Detailed Instructions. Submit technical question to the e-snaps HUD Exchange Ask A Question (AAQ) at https://www.hudexchange.info/get-assistance/

6-1 Below is the summary of the information entered on the reallocated forms. The last field "Remaining Reallocation Balance" should equal '0'. If there is a positive balance remaining, this means that more funds are being eliminated or reduced than the new project(s) requested. If there is a negative balance remaining, this means that more funds are being requested for the new reallocated project(s) than have been reduced or eliminated from other eligible renewal projects.

Reallocation Chart: Reallocation Balance Summary

Reallocated funds available for new project(s):	$252,852
Amount requested for new project(s):	$252,361
Remaining Reallocation Balance:	$491

City of Reno, City of Sparks and Washoe County

Continuum of Care - Rating and Ranking Process

The HUD released the Notice of Funding Availability (NOFA) for the 2015 Continuum of Care Program (CoC) Competition on September 18, 2015. The purpose of the funding is to promote a community-wide commitment to the goal of ending homelessness; to provide funding for efforts by nonprofit providers, States, and local governments to quickly re-house homeless individuals, families, persons fleeing domestic violence, and youth while minimizing the trauma and dislocation caused by homelessness; to promote access to and effective utilization of mainstream programs by homeless; and to optimize self-sufficiency among those experiencing homelessness.

HUD expects that there is sufficient funding for all renewal projects but encourages CoC's to evaluate all programs eligible for renewal and to re-allocate funds to better meet the goals of the program. Also, funds can be re-allocated to a Dedicated HMIS project. Projects will need to be rated and ranked within two tiers. Tier 1 projects are guaranteed funding. Tier 1 is equal to 85% of the CoC's final pro rata need amount (FPRN). Tier 2 is equal to the difference between FPRN-the total in Tier 1 plus 15% of FPRN for a bonus project. Coc's are also eligible to apply for a planning grant which is not ranked in either Tier 1 or 2.

Final Pro Rata Need Amount $1,593,622

Planning Grant available $47,809

Tier 1 = $1,354,579

Tier 2 = $239,043

$239,043 in bonus funds

CoCs may create new projects through the permanent housing bonus up to 15 percent of the CoC's FPRN for the following types of new projects:

a.   New permanent supportive housing projects that will serve 100 percent chronically homeless families and individuals, and

b.   New rapid re-housing projects that will serve homeless individuals and families coming directly from the streets or emergency shelters, and includes persons fleeing domestic violence situations and other persons meeting the criteria of paragraph (4) of the definition of homeless.

Reallocation Projects

The CoC has determined that when feasible and appropriate, the CoC shall re-direct funding towards projects that:

a.   Serve the highest need individuals or families;

b.   Help project participants obtain permanent housing as rapidly and directly from homelessness as possible;

c.   Ensure long-term housing stability; and

d.   Ensure the best and most cost-effective fit given a community's needs.

It was determined that funding a dedicated HMIS was the best and most cost-effective fit for the CoC.

The CoC priority for reallocation of funds is to establish a new dedicated HMIS project. To prepare for reallocation, the CoC engaged in the following activities:

Investments Inventory

The CoC surveyed existing providers in the HIC and conducted a public search for 990s to identify how much the CoC HIC programs are spending to end homelessness. The Investments Inventory accounts for every public and private capital, operating, and service dollar on a per-project basis to establish system-wide totals and per-unit averages. The Investments Inventory showed that the system has a substantial total investment in ending homelessness which, if used more strategically, could serve more chronically homeless individuals and families and could target youth up to age 24.

Project-level Analysis

A number of PSH projects were not fully utilizing HUD funding. The amount recaptured by HUD was calculated, spending during 2014-15 was analyzed, and the CoC worked with existing grantees to identify the number of dollars that could be recaptured, without a reduction in numbers served.

On September 25, Social Entrepreneurs, Inc., the CoC Coordinator, posted the NOFA on its website and hosted a mandatory meeting for potential new and renewal applications. The CoC has determined that it will re-allocate funds to establish a new Dedicated HMIS Project. In addition to the new HMIS Dedicated Project, the CoC has requested new applications that meet the criteria for a bonus project.

The CoC worked collaboratively with each renewal applicant to identify if a project would be submitted for renewal, and at what amount.

A rating and ranking tool was created that included a supplemental application. As part of the renewal grant application, the applicant was required to complete and email the supplemental application to the CoC Coordinator. The amount indicated for reallocation by the project applicant was identified on the supplemental application and served as documentation of the amount that the project voluntarily submitted for reallocation.

It was estimated that the preliminary analysis of projects indicate sufficient funds shall be recaptured and reallocated during the 2015 CoC competition to fund a Dedicated HMIS Project. If additional funds are available for reallocation, they would be made available for a project that meets the Bonus Project criteria in the 2015 NOFA.

On October 20, renewal applicants submitted their supplemental applications to the CoC Coordinator. The total amount available for reallocation was $252,852. This funding was sufficient to fund HMIS, a new PSH serving Chronically Homeless Families, and a new RRH project.

Local Competition Deadlines

1.   Project Applications. All project applications are required to be submitted to the CoC no later than 30 days before the application deadline of November 20, 2015.

2.   CoC Notification to Project Applicants.   The CoC will notify all project applicants no later than 15 days before the 2015 application deadline regarding whether their project applications would be included as part of the CoC Consolidated Application submission.

Review and Oversight

HUD expects each CoC to implement a thorough review and oversight process at the local level for both new and renewal project applications submitted to HUD in the FY 2015 CoC Program Competition. To meet this expectation, the CoCs closely reviewed information provided in each project application in order to ensure that:

1.   all proposed program participants will be eligible for the program component type selected;

2.   the proposed activities are eligible under the 24 CFR part 578;

3.   each project narrative is fully responsive to the question being asked and that it meets all of the criteria for that question as required by this NOFA and included in the detailed instructions provided in e-snaps;

4.   the data provided in various parts of the project application are consistent; and

5.   all required attachments correspond to the attachments list in e-snaps and the attachments contain accurate and complete information, and are dated between July 1, 2015 and November 20, 2015.

All renewal and new projects were required to submit a supplemental application along with their project application. The CoC supplemental application components and narratives served to: (1) confirm the capacity of agencies to provide CoC funded programs; (2) provide information on program delivery in order to evaluate performance and meeting HUD priorities for scoring and ranking of projects by the Rating and Ranking Committee (RRC); and, (3) provide project level narrative to be utilized in the CoC Program application (former 'Exhibit 1').

Projects must meet minimum project eligibility, capacity, timeliness, and performance standards. The CoC will review information in the Line of Credit Control System (LOCCS); Annual Performance Reports (APRs); and information derived from desktop and on-site monitoring, including monitoring reports and A-133 audit reports as applicable, as well as performance standards on prior grants, and assess a project on the following criteria using a pass/fail basis:

•   applicant's performance against plans and goals;
•   timeliness standards;
•   applicant's performance in assisting program participants to achieve and maintain independent living and record of success;
•   financial management accounting practices;
•   timely expenditures;
•   capacity;
•   leveraging and match;
•   outreach strategies; and
•   eligible activities

Elements of the rating and ranking process will include:

- All renewal and new applications will be reviewed and ranked by an unbiased review panel composed of representatives from neutral (non-applicant) organizations. The CoC's homeless assistance programs are being measured in FY 2015 by the objective to "end chronic homelessness and to move the homeless to permanent housing." HUD has chosen six indicators which directly relate to the CoC Program. These six indicators, as described below, will be collected in the FY 2015 CoC Application. Each project applicant will also be asked to report on the following indicators:

    - The creation of new PSH beds for chronically homeless individuals and families;

    - The decrease in the number of chronically homeless individuals and families in the CoC's geography;

    - The increase of employment of the homeless residing in or exiting HUD homeless assistance projects;

    - The increase of the use of mainstream resources for the homeless residing or exiting from HUD homeless assistance projects;

    - The percentage of turnover in CoC Program-funded PSH not dedicated to chronically homeless that will be prioritized to serve chronically homeless individuals and families; and

    - The percentage of individuals and households with children that are served in rapid re-housing that came from unsheltered locations.

- The review panel members will review the Project Applications and Supplemental Application, monitoring results, data from the Project Application Annual Performance Reports for renewal projects, as well as overall performance and adherence to HUD performance outcomes, goals and priorities to determine a ranked ordering.

- The rating and ranking will also take into consideration the CoCs Tiering strategy to determine what projects will be placed into Tier 2.

- The rankings will be presented to the Continuum of Care Leadership Council for approval and a formal vote on November 5, 2015 and presented to the CoC general meeting for approval on November 12, 2015.

- The ranking process used locally will align with HUD's process as described in the 2015 NOFA (pages 35-49). Points will be assigned to projects in accordance to corresponding criteria assigned by HUD.

- New PSH or RRH projects created through reallocation will be ranked based on the performance criteria listed in this policy.

- Projects will be ranked in accordance with HUD's priority order by project type (e.g. PH, TH, SSO, etc.) applying the methodology described above. Any remaining projects not fitting in the amount allocated under Tier 1 are placed in Tier 2. **The**

following is a matrix of ranking criteria and points.

The projects will be evaluated on a 100 point basis. For each section of the application, the RRC members will be asked to assign a score that is based on information in the application, the quantitative review, and the site visit (if applicable) for each project.

Total scores for each project are determined by adding up points in each section and then adding any bonus points if applicable. HMIS, new bonus projects and renewal projects will be scored using its applicable score sheet. The scores from each Rating and Ranking committee member is computed and averaged for each project. A project rating list is then generated from highest to lowest average score. Projects scoring highest will be ranked and placed into Tier 1 until all Tier 1 funds are allocated. The remaining projects selected for funding will be ranked and placed into Tier 2 until all Tier 2 funds are allocated. Projects that scored well but fell outside the pro rata share are encouraged to re-submit in a future competition.

Tiering and Ranking:

The CoC must assign a unique rank to each project that it intends to submit to HUD for FY 2015 funding. HUD strongly advises CoCs to rank higher those project applications that the CoC determines are high priority, high performing, and meet the needs and gaps as identified in the CoC.

Tiers:

To ensure that CoCs have the opportunity to prioritize their projects locally in the event that HUD is not able to fund all renewals, HUD requires that CoCs rank projects in 2 tiers. The tiers are financial thresholds. This year Tier 1 is equal to the CoC's FPRN less 15%. Tier 2 is the amount between the CoCs Tier 1 and the CoCs Final Annual Renewal Demand (ARD), and 15% of the FPRN for eligible bonus projects.

The CoC's homeless assistance programs are being measured in FY 2015 by the objective to "end chronic homelessness and to move the homeless to permanent housing."

HUD Priority Order:

Consistent with the FY 2015 HUD CoC Program Competition NOFA, projects will be ranked according to HUD's priority order listed below. Within the rank order established by the CoC on the Priority Listings, HUD will first select projects from Tier 1 in the following order by CoC score:

(1) New dedicated HMIS projects;
(2) Renewal permanent housing projects, RRH and PSH;
(3) New rapid re-housing projects for individuals;
(4) SSO projects for centralized or coordinated assessment system;

*The HMIS Project must be ranked in Tier I to ensure funding.

Rating and Ranking Members:

The Rating and Ranking Committee (RRC) is comprised of RAAH members who are knowledgeable about homelessness and housing in our CoC and who are broadly representative of the relevant sectors, subpopulations, and geographic areas. The RRC is comprised of representatives from a cross-section of groups in RAAH including; faith based providers, private sector, non-profit providers of homeless services and housing; and jurisdiction staff. The RRC is also comprised of members that have no financial or interest in a CoC funded program.

The RRC will establish a Chair who will lead the Rating and Ranking process. The Rating and Ranking process will take place on October 21, 2015 at 10 am. RRC members will be oriented to the NOFA, the CoC competition, the Rating and Ranking tools and the CoC's priorities. They will rate each application independently. Rating scores will be averaged to achieve a preliminary Rank. The RRC will then discuss and finalize the Ranking to best meet the priorities of the CoC. The final ranking will include a motion, a second and a majority of the RRC voting in favor of the final ranking for it to pass as a recommendation to the Steering Committee.

Appeals Process:

Project applicants whose project was rejected may appeal the local CoC competition decision to HUD if the project applicant believes it was denied the opportunity to participate in the local CoC planning process in a reasonable manner by submitting a Solo Application in e-snaps directly to HUD prior to the application deadline of 7:59:59 p.m. eastern time on November 20, 2015. The CoC's notification of rejection of the project in the local competition must be attached to the Solo Application. If the CoC fails to provide written notification outside of e-snaps, the Solo Applicant must attach evidence that it attempted to participate in the local CoC planning process and submitted a project application that met the local deadlines, along with a statement that the CoC did not provide the Solo Applicant written notification of the CoC rejecting the project in the local CoC competition.

City of Reno, City of Sparks and Washoe County

Continuum of Care - Rating and Ranking Meeting

Meeting Minutes

October 21, 2015

10 am to 5 pm

6548 South McCarran Blvd, Suite B

1. Welcome and Introductions

   The Committee convened at 10:00 am on 10/21. Members introduced themselves and the CoC Coordinator reviewed the agenda and described the task for the day, to review monitor results and use the results of the monitor and the applications to rate each applicant for funding. After rating, the RRC will deliberate on the final ranking order for Tier 1 and 2. RRC members represented the VA, the City of Sparks, WestCare Nevada and Northern Nevada HOPES. No member had a conflict of interest with any of the project applicants.

2. Review of HUD Webinar on CoC Competition

   The Committee reviewed the first thirty minutes of HUD's Continuum of Care webinar broadcast to review HUD's strategic priorities, learn about the 2015 competition, and learn how Tier 1 and Tier 2 projects will be evaluated by HUD.

3. Report on Monitoring

   The CoC Coordinator and a member of the Rating and Ranking Committee who assisted with monitoring, described the monitor process and results.

4. Review of rating tools

   Each Committee member was provided a copy of each application submitted, along with a supplemental application and the rating and ranking tool. The tools were reviewed with a description of how they would be used.

5. Review of applications (Working Lunch with lunch provided)

   a. Renewal

   RRC members reviewed the first application and then shared their scores. Discussion took place regarding how and why each score was reaching and scores were reviewed to ensure the scoring system used by each RRC member was the same. Scores were finalized for the application and recorded. RRC members then completed a review of all remaining renewal applications and reported their scores to the CoC Coordinator.

b. New

RRC members reviewed the two new applications for funding and reported their scores to the CoC Coordinator.

6. Discussion of Tier I and Tier II Amounts

The CoC Coordinator reminded the RRC members of the amount of funding available in Tier 1 versus Tier 2 and how a project could straddle the Tiers. The RRC also discussed HUD's Strategic Priorities and the eligible bonus projects.

7. Preliminary Ranking Discussion

The RRC discussed how projects would rank if based solely on rating scores. They elected a Chair to guide deliberations.

8. Review of CoC priorities

The RRC reviewed the CoC priorities. The main priority was for a Dedicated HMIS Project. Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) projects were the second priority and new Rapid Rehousing (RRH) with a focus on Transition Age Youth (TAY).

9. Final Ranking Decisions

The RRC discussed a number of scenarios to maximize resources within the CoC. The final ranking decisions for their preferred recommendation was based on asking for a revised project from one of the applicants. If the applicant agreed to make the revisions, the RRC approved the final ranking as scenario 1. If the applicant didn't agree to make the revisions, the RRC approved a second scenario, scenario 2. RRC members approved scenario 1 as their primary recommendation and scenario 2 as a second recommendation if scenario 1 wasn't viable. Both recommendations were approved unanimously.

10. Next steps and Adjourn

The CoC Coordinator was tasked with communicating results to all applicants and forwarding the final recommendation to the Leadership Council for review and approval on November 5, 2015.

Notification of Rating and Ranking Results and Reallocation

The rating scores and ranking recommendations from the RRC for 2015 are found below.

On November 5, 2015, the Reno Area Alliance for the Homeless Leadership Council, (also known as the CoC Board) approved the rating and ranking recommendations from the RRC. This document provides the approved applications for the 2015 CoC Competition and serves as notice for those projects that will be reallocated or which will relinquish funding (be rejected).

Project applicants whose project was rejected may appeal the local CoC competition decision to HUD if the project applicant believes it was denied the opportunity to participate in the local CoC planning process in a reasonable manner by submitting a Solo Application in e-snaps directly to HUD prior to the application deadline of 7:59:59 p.m. eastern time on November 20, 2015. The CoC's notification of rejection of the project in the local competition must be attached to the Solo Application. If the CoC fails to provide written notification outside of e-snaps, the Solo Applicant must attach evidence that it attempted to participate in the local CoC planning process and submitted a project application that met the local deadlines, along with a statement that the CoC did not provide the Solo Applicant written notification of the CoC rejecting the project in the local CoC competition.

Preliminary Rating:

Project Reviewer	NNAMHS SPC 1	NNAMHS SPC 2	WC SPC	WC PSH	ReStart Anchor	ReStart RRH	Ridge House RRH	CCSS HMIS
1	182	180	196	211	181	175	180	220
2	180	182	209	210	188	210	198	220
3	180	181	199	212	189	190	195	220
4	181	183	200	211	193	206	195	220
Total	723	726	804	844	751	781	768	880
AVG	180.75	181.5	201	211	187.75	195.25	192	220

Nevada Rating and Ranking

| Tier 1 | $1,354,579.00 |
| Tier 2 | $478,086.00 |

Rank	Applicant Name	Project Name	Amount Requested	Amount Ranked	Tier	Amount Used in Tier 1	Amount Used in Tier 2
1	Clark County Social Services	HMIS	$122,822.00	$122,822.00	Tier 1	**$122,822.00**	
2	Washoe County	PSH	$30,106.45	$85,000.00	Tier 1	**$207,822.00**	
3	Washoe County	SPC	$105,338.62	$105,338.62	Tier 1	**$313,160.62**	
4	ReStart	Anchor	$800,915.00	$800,915.00	Tier 1	**$1,114,075.62**	
5	NNAMHS	SPC 2	$71,120.00	$71,120.00	Tier 1	**$1,185,195.62**	
6	NNAMHS	SPC 1	$363,784.00	$363,784.00	Tier 2	$169,383.38	$194,400.62
7	ReStart	RRH	$239,043.00	$239,043.00	Tier 2		$433,443.62
8	Ridge House	RRH	$123,640.00	$44,642.00	Tier 2		$478,085.62
	Program Reallocated			**Amount Reallocated**			
	ReStart	PSH Vet Preference		$131,373.00			
	Washoe County	PSH		$32,345.00			
	Washoe County	SPC		$7,832.00			
	ReStart	Anchor		$0.00			
	NNAMHS	SPC 2		$0.00			
	NNAMHS	SPC 1		$81,302.00			
				Total	**$252,852**		